



Assessment of Beach Erosion and Impacts to Borrow Sites Associated with a Beach Nourishment Project in South Carolina, USA

C.A. McCoy, P.T. Gayes, J.A. Marshall



Beach Erosion Research Monitoring







Contributors

<u>Staff</u>: Paul Gayes, Jeff Marshall, Jenna Hill, Jamie Phillips

<u>Students</u>: Matt Howe, Stephanie Miller, John Ledoux, Shannon Klosko, Tonya Martin

<u>Funding</u>: US Army Corps of Engineers, DHEC Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, Horry County, City of North Myrtle Beach, SC Sea Grant





Ocean and Coastal Resource Management









Goal: To assess shoreline change and coastal sediment dynamics associated with the Grand Strand beach nourishment project.

<u>Outline</u>

- Background information
- Beachfront management in South Carolina
- Preliminary data from 2007-2009 beach nourishment monitoring and research
 - Beach profiles
 - Mean High Water surveys
 - Borrow site bathymetry and side scan sonar
 - Aerial photography
 - Beach cameras

Study Site

- East coast of the United States
- South Atlantic Bight
- Long Bay



- Shallow coastal embayment
- 100 km to shelf break
- 1.5 m tidal range
- Average wave height < 1 m



Grand Strand Region

- 5 mainland attached beaches along 60 km of shoreline
- Several barrier islands north and south of mainland attached beaches
- 13 million visitors annually
- \$5.8 billion economic impact



(Barnhardt et al., 2009)

Horry	County Pop	oulation (19	$970 - 2004)^1$	

County	1970	1990	2000	2004	% change ('70 - '04)
Horry	69,992	144,053	196,629	217,608	311



¹Marineeconomics.noaa.gov/socioeconomics/CZCounties/cz_pop_housing/state_pages/CZ_SC.html

Management Questions:

- 1. Where are sand resources?
- 2. What are the implications of coastal processes and sea level rise?
- Sea level rise = 0.52 m/100 years
- Long-term minor erosion rates on mainland attached beaches (0-0.2 m yr⁻¹)
- Mainland-attached beaches slightly accretional over last 20 years (beach nourishment)
- · Sediment starved continental shelf
- Net sediment transport to southwest





Regional Beach Management

• Hard stabilization structures outlawed in late 1980s

- Beach nourishment primary erosion
 control method
- 3 projects: 1986, 1996, 2008
- 2008:
 - 3 "borrow" sites
 - 2.3 million m³ of sand placed over 50 km of shoreline
 - 30 125 m³ m⁻¹
 - \$30 million total cost









Methods

• Project began Oct. '07, data collection will be completed Feb. '10

 Beach profiles, shoreline surveys – RTK GPS (<5 cm accuracy), Hypack software

• Nearshore bathymetry - R/V Arial - Rigid Hull Inflatable with RTK-GPS, MRU, survey-grade fathometer

 Side Scan Sonar – R/V Privateer – Klein 3000 Dual Frequency (100, 500 kHz) towfish

• Aerial photography – Cesna, 10 MP camera, Panarama software, ArcMap

• Beach cameras – Erdman Video Systems









Beach Profiles

• <u>Beach Profile</u> - Shore perpendicular transect across the beachface from landward toe of primary dune to 1000 m offshore

• Online database of profiles collected annually throughout state of SC since 1988 available at gis.coastal.edu

• used to assess volume change, movement of elevation contours, sediment transport, effectiveness of nourishment projects



• Winter vs. summer beaches

• calmer summer conditions generally build the berm and upper beach face

 winter conditions generally erode berm and deposit sediments in nearshore bar



Arcadian Shores

- 150,000 m³ of sand placed above MLW over 1.2 km (120 m³ m⁻¹) in Feb. '08
- 56 60 % of sand remaining above MHW, MSL, MLW contours after 15 months
- Additional data suggests some recovery over summer 2009 in northern half of nourished beach



5515	MHW	MSL	MLW	
The state	(m ³ m ⁻¹)	(m ³ m ⁻¹)	(m ³ m ⁻¹)	
May 2008	57.4	85.0	110.5	
Jul. 2008	53.4	78.8	97.7	
Nov. 2008	44.1	62.0	78.1	
May 2009	33.2	49.6	63.5	



Volume Change Relative to Pre-Nourishment

Arcadian Shores

- Sediment transport to north and south (tidal creek)
- 20 m³ m⁻¹ more sand above MSL after 15 months at profile 300 m to north
- Slight accretion at MHW contour from May '09 through summer '09







	5520	МНЖ	MSL	MLW	
	Software R	(m ³ m ⁻¹)	(m ³ m ⁻¹)	(m ³ m ⁻¹)	
	May 2008	-10.4	-14.9	-18.3	
1	Jul. 2008	20.6	31.4	21.7	
	Nov. 2008	32.7	45.0	43.1	
	May 2009	14.5	20.9	20.2	





Arcadian Shores - MHW Surveys

 Bracket MHW (0.65 m, NAVD88) contour with RTK GPS attached to ATV

•	Tidal	inlet	proces	ses
im	pact	ing a	djacent	t
sh	oreli	ne dy	mamics	s is

 Calculate shoreline change rates with Digital Shoreline Analysis Software



Movement of MHW Contour Relative to Nov. 2006 Location					
Transect	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25
	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
Feb 2008	-6.0	-13.9	-6.1	-0.8	-6.2
Apr 2008	37.3	33.3	9.6	Leste :	
Apr 2009	19.3	14.7	10.9	0.4	-4.7
Aug 2009	14.9	20.0	22.9	6.8	0.5



Beach Camera – Singleton Swash

- Solar powered
- Programmed to take picture every 30 minutes
- Upload to CCU server via broadband card
- Available online
- Working to digitize wet-dry lines for analysis of swash evolution







http://www.video-monitoring.com/coastal/ https://bcmw.coastal.edu/beach-erosion-research-and-monitoring-berm/beach-cameras





Borrow Sites - Surfside

- Pre, post, post + 1 year assessments
- 60m x 60m grid spacing
- Removed 700,000 m³ of sediment to depth of -4m
- Initial infill typically silt and mud along SC coast
- Coordinate with Dept. of Natural Resources for sediment samples













Little River

- Removed upper 0.5 -1m of sediment across all zones
- Very limited sediment in northern Long Bay
- Post + 1 year due this month







20000



Summary

- 40% of beach fill is removed from above MLW 15 months after nourishment
- Likely to stabilize over next year
- Initial data indicates minor infilling of borrow sites
- Mainland attached beaches are accretional over past 20 years through beach nourishment
- Effective monitoring and research necessary to maintain resources and minimize impacts
- Maintaining current shoreline will become more challenging and expensive



Contact: cmccoy@coastal.edu